Committee on Human Resources

September 30, 1960

Editor, New York Times New York, New York

Dear Sir:

Attached are two tables, one of which was recently printed by the TIMES to illustrate Mr. Krushchev's point that Central Asia is not being treated as a Colony. Puerto Rico, however, is being treated as a colony by the United States, according to Mr. Krushchev. The second enclosed table compares manpower changes in Puerto Rico and the United States parallel to those which Mr. Krushchev compared for Central Asia and the U.S.S.R. Please print the two tables side by side and let your readers judge whether, on Mr. Krushchev's own terms, Puerto Rico can properly be called a colony.

Where I have deviated slightly from Mr. Krushchev's table, it was either because our manpower categories are a little different or because it was impossible to tell just what his categories included. For example, it was impossible to tell just what was included under scientific workers.

Sincerely yours,

Everett Reimer, Secretary Committee on Human Resources

cc: Mr. Morales Carrión

Sending the letter this way was discussed with Mr. Alonso.

front Rome

		3		Times by which numbers grew from
challetonicos:		1926	1959	126 to 159
Metal workers	U.S.	1,880	7,200	3
	P. R.	25	10,000	400
Chemical workers	v. s.	165	1,000	6
	P. R.	100	1,200	12
Mechanics and	U. S.	300	3,000	10
repairmen	P. R.	1,600	12,000	7
Drivers, tractor, bus,	U. S.	685	2,000	3.5
truck and taxi	P. R.	4,000	30,000	7.5
Engineers, mechanical,	U. S.	190	800	4
electrical, chemical, etc., including agronomists	P. R.	600	3,600	
Teachers and College	u. s.	994	1,600	1.5
Professors	P. R.	4,000	16,000	A CALL
Medical Doctors and	u. s.	201	258	1.5
Dentists	P. R.	560	1,500	3

(United States figures are in thousands)

sorry: I have no extra

erpy I the Krusher tall.

and no tank to make one,

or secretary

ARH I