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Puerto Rico Does Not Want to Be a State

Despite the examples of Alaska and Hawaii, says Governor Muñoz Marín, its present Commonwealth status best suits both the island and the U. S.

By LUIS MUNOZ MARÍN

Puerto Rico, now a vigorous self-governing Commonwealth within the American political system, would be smothered if some of its misguided State-side well-wishers, like Senator Dennis Chavez of New Mexico and Representative Victor Anfuso of New York, had their way. They have introduced or proposed to introduce bills for Puerto Rican statehood. The bills have no chance of approval in Congress, and only minority support in Puerto Rico.

Recently, in the lobby of a Washington hotel, an old friend greeted me, "Well, Governor, pretty soon we should be seeing you up on the 'Hill' as a Senator from the fifty-first state." He meant it as a high compliment to Puerto Rico, and I was touched by both his warmth and enthusiasm. In the wake of Alaskan and Hawaiian statehood, a similar status for Puerto Rico seemed logical, simple and desirable to him. He melted into the milling crowd of the lobby before I had an opportunity to outline for him the great complexities of Puerto Rico's circumstances, which make statehood neither logical nor desirable for Puerto Rico or the United States.

When I say that it is far better for Puerto Rico to remain a Commonwealth, it is with no insensitivity to the high honor which statehood implies. Nor is it because we seek independence—we definitely do not. Nor does it mean we are content to be less than a federated state—because, definitely, we are not less, but only different. Nor is it because we do not want to share in the common expenses of the Federal Union, of which we are a part in a new way—since Puerto Rico is now proposing a formula by which it would begin to pay into the Federal Treasury as its economic growth allows it to do so.

Puerto Rico's history has been far different from that of Alaska and Hawaii, and the understandable tendency to speculate on possible statehood for Puerto Rico after the rapid accession of Alaska and Hawaii can lead men of goodwill far astray. Puerto Rico was a populous island with a long history and a well-defined culture when it first came into the American orbit in 1898 following the Spanish-American War. Alaska and Hawaii, on the other hand, were sparsely settled and ripe for colonization from the mainland.

Alaska and Hawaii became incorporated territories, clearly destined for cultural integration and eventual statehood. Puerto Rico's special circumstances were early recognized when it became the first unincorporated territory, which implied that it would not be slated for statehood. At the same time it would have been obviously contrary to the American spirit that Puerto Rico should remain a colony forever.

Economic factors were very different, too. Both Alaska and Hawaii have been for many years notably wealthier than Puerto Rico is even now after fourteen years of rapid economic progress since the end of World War II. The net annual average income of Hawaii, and probably of Alaska, is more than $1,800, very little below the 1957 national average of $2,027. Puerto Rico's per capita income of $476 is still less than half of that of the lowest income state of the Union, Mississippi. Puerto Rico has little land in relation to population, no fuels, no significant mineral resources. That is why we have called the program by which we progress "Operation Bootstrap."

These economic and cultural factors have since 1988, made Puerto Rico's political evolution unique, and clearly different from that of Alaska and Hawaii. Indeed, as late as 1946, many sober, informed men in the United States considered Puerto Rico's economic and political problems "insoluble," and were resigned to Puerto Rico's being a dependent poorhouse on a kind of permanent dole from the Federal Treasury, a place where poverty and hopelessness would constantly churn up social and political instability.

It took a double-barreled attack on these problems in Puerto Rico itself to lead to the relative prosperity of today, and to the visible social and political health and vigor of the island. First came the attack on poverty, temporarily foreclosing political debate. Only when "Operation Bootstrap" was well under way did Puerto Rico address itself to finding a political status which answered the needs of its special relationship with the United States and its own economic and cultural circumstances.

To those who forget that peoples are the creators of political formulas and not their slaves, Puerto Rico seemed melted into the Federal Treasury as its economic growth allows it to do so. That is why we have called the program by which we progress "Operation Bootstrap."
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The circumstances called for the same kind of political pioneering which created the original thirteen states themselves—a new projection which would be in the spirit of the twentieth century, taking due recognition of the mutual interests of both the United States and Puerto Rico. To accept a self-governing Commonwealth had been foreseen as long ago as 1912 by Henry L. Stimson, then Secretary of War under President Taft. With far-sighted statesmanship Stimson observed that he saw no inconsistency between United States citizenship for Puerto Ricans and the ideal that Puerto Rico should have, when ready for it, completely autonomous local government within the American system.

This is essentially what happened when Puerto Rico became a Commonwealth in 1952. The official Spanish translation is Estado Libre Asociado—Associated Free State: Puerto Rico, in the generic sense of the term, is a new kind of state. Puerto Ricans are United States citizens, sharing with their fellow
citizens in the continental United States, a common defense, a common foreign policy, a common market, a common currency and the operation of practically all Federal laws. Puerto Ricans differ from other Americans in that they do not vote in national elections, have no voting representation in Congress, and have, on the other hand, autonomy in directing their local affairs, including the collecting and spending of their own taxes.

Nearly seven years after its hopeful inception, how is the Commonwealth doing? It is doing remarkably well. I am happy to report, "Operation Bootstrap" has raised the per capita income from $121 in 1940 to today's $470. Living standards have virtually doubled in fifteen years, perhaps the most rapid economic advance in any underdeveloped region in the world.

Certainly development has been dramatic enough to attract high officials, technicians and students from all over the world to study our methods. Nearly 9,000 visitors from 107 different countries—from Nepal to Saudi Arabia, from Morocco to Bolivia—have studied how we have raised life expectancy from 46 years in 1940 to 68 years today, how we are rapidly winning the fight against illiteracy and how a whole people can be raised, in a few years, from despair and deepest poverty to relative prosperity and dynamic purposefulness.

The creation of the Commonwealth had political as well as economic lessons for the world. It was a notable achievement, in the post-war era, to end a colonial relationship in such a constructive, fruitful manner, devoid of the bitterness and violence which characterized the end of colonialism in many parts of Asia, the Near East and Africa. Puerto Rico clearly gave the lie to the persons (Communists and others) who are always ready to raise the cry of "imperialism" against the United States. It was patently impossible to square "imperial exploitation" with a people who are self-governing, who sent 40,000 of their sons into the armed forces during the Korean conflict (90 per cent of them volunteers) and who are so effectively dramatizing the quality of United States democracy to thousands of earnest observers from all over the world.

The dignity of self-government has not only engendered an explosion of energy in economic affairs in Puerto Rico but has seen a lively ferment in cultural realms as well. No one who has visited Puerto Rico recently can be immune to the feeling that "things are happening." The Casals Festival, lively drama, ballet, opera and symphony orchestra seasons are all part of the energized local scene. Puerto Rican painting and writing are in obvious renaissance. A people with new pride and new confidence and new creativity are clearly on the march.

In the light of this progress, it may seem strange that the sterile debate regarding possible statehood at any time in the foreseeable future should have been revived in Puerto Rico. Certainly persons who make any serious study of Puerto Rico's economics are aware that statehood, at this time, or for many generations to come, would mean a fatal crash for the island. It would be like a fully loaded airplane about to be airborne having half its motors suddenly stopped.

Federal taxes would about double the already high tax load that the Commonwealth must impose upon itself in order to keep its public works and public services in line with its rapid economic development. Federated statehood would mean either breaking the back of the taxpayer and thus further economic development impossible or cutting the public services so drastically that their decay would constitute a bottleneck for private enterprise and economic development.

THE sugar industry has been an undoubted asset for the United States in sensing changing currents in Latin America, and in translating its hemisphere policy into action.

But even now, however limited our means, Puerto Rico wants to make it clear that it intends to begin contributing to the Federal treasury as its economic circumstances permit. There is now a bill before Congress whose purpose is to define the permanent association of Puerto Rico with the United States. One principle is clearly established: that Puerto Rico wishes, of its own accord and within its abilities, to
help shoulder the Federal burden by contributing money to the Federal Government and by performing some functions in Puerto Rico now underwritten by U.S. taxpayers.

Puerto Rico is not looking for a "free ride." On the contrary, it is just as anxious to carry its share of the financial load as its sons were to risk their lives in Europe and Korea—as a matter of pride and dignity, as our contribution to the whole.

When the strident chorus of nationalism begins to fade in Europe, Asia, Latin America and Africa, some ingenious formula may permit the rational regrouping of new and old sovereign units on a sounder economic basis, just as the United States and Puerto Rico found a workable formula. The world has become too small, too complex, too interdependent to permit indefinite political fragmentation at the price of widespread poverty. Where blind insistence on rigid, nineteenth-century-style sovereignty exists in defiance of economic logic, new forms of federalism are called for. Puerto Ricans are proud that they are contributing to the American political system a new form of federalism.

In dedicating the Puerto Rico Supreme Court Building, Chief Justice Earl Warren expressed this in words that remind us of the creative political genius of the United States:

"In the sense that our American system is not static, in the sense that it is not an end but the means to an end—in the sense that it is an organism intended to grow and expand to meet varying conditions and times in a large country—in the sense that every governmental effort of ours is an experiment—so the new institutions of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico represent an experiment—the newest experiment and perhaps the most notable of American governmental experiments in our lifetimes."

A new way of abolishing colonialism has been born.